

REVIEWER

Title / academic degree, name and surname:

.....

TITLE OF WORK

.....

.....

.....

WORK EVALUATION

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| 1. Is the article written according to the journal's profile? | YES | NO |
| 2. Is the article scientific in nature? | YES | NO |
| 3. Does the abstract of the article accurately describe the content of the work? | YES | NO |
| 4. Is the working language accurate and correct? | YES | NO |
| 5. Are the theses of the thesis clearly presented? | YES | NO |
| 6. Whether the work is original in relation to existing publications? | YES | NO |
| 7. Is the terminology used in the article correct? | YES | NO |
| 8. Are the technical parts (drawings, diagrams) valuable and legible? | YES | NO |
| 9. Is the selection of literature appropriate in terms of topicality? | YES | NO |

The quality of the reviewed study on a scale of 1 to 10 is

FINAL WORK ASSESSMENT

The work is suitable for publication in its current form

YES NO AFTER CORRECTING

Date of the review

.....

Reviewer's signature

.....

Any detailed comments, please attach to this review in the form of an additional card or e-mail.